	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/540,238	CHAGANTY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Appeal Brief</u>
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. David Volejnicek.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2005	Time: <u>11:35 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: claim 57		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirement 	ion. The examiner will provide a	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Legionth		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's response (8/1/05) to the examiner's answer to Appeal Brief (6/30/2005) includes amending claims 23 and 40 placing claims 23-24 and 26-46 in condition for allowance. However, the examiner's answer indicated that the appeal involves claim 57-63 as well, but was not amended. Thus, the examiner inquired the status of claim 57 whether Mr.Volejnicek's intention was to continue to challenge claims 57-63 for Appeal or to amend for allowability. Mr.Volejnicek responded he would have to look over the application. The examiner requests that Mr.Volejnicek to fax in the amended claim 57 if applicants agrees for the amendment.

On October 21, 2005: The examiner received a fax to grant an examiner's amendment for claim 57. Thus, places claims 57-63 allowable.